

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,008	03/02/2004	Huey Quoc Chan	1001.1765101	1275.
28075	28075 7590 07/18/2006 EXAMINER			
	N, SEAGER & TUFTE LET AVENUE	SMITH, I	SMITH, PAUL B	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,008	CHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul B. Smith	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting The company and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	arch 2004					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9 7/2 7/12	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/9/2004, 7/2/2004, and 7/12/2004 are acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner considers the references cited therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims1-7, 12-15, 17-19, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Samson *et al.* ('978).
- 4. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)

Art Unit: 3763

5. Thus, Samson *et al.* appears to reasonably teach every element of claims 1-7, 12-15, 17-19 and 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 8, 10-11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson *et al.* ('978) in view of Killion *et al.* ('957).
- 9. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced

Art Unit: 3763

braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)

- 10. Samson *et al.* fails to disclose an inflation lumen that has a smaller diameter than the diameter of the shaft. Further, a means of attaching the inflatable balloon to the shaft is not specified.
- 11. Killion *et al.* teaches a balloon catheter comprising an inflation lumen that has a smaller diameter then the diameter of the elongated shaft. (See Figure 1) A means of attaching the inflatable balloon to the catheter is taught to be an adhesive or by thermal bonds. (See Column 4 Lines 5-15)
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Samson *et al.* with the teachings of Killion *et al.* to provide a balloon catheter with a smaller inflation lumen diameter then the shaft diameter. Further a means of attaching the balloon to the shaft is taught by Killion *et al.*
- 13. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson et al. ('978) in view of Pfenninger ('247).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,008

Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

14. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)

- 15. Samson *et al.* fails to disclose shrinking a thin wall of polymer around the inflation component and shaft.
- 16. Pfenninger teaches a method of bonding two lumens together comprising the steps of providing the lumens with a filling and surrounding them with a fitting shrinkable tubing. When the shrinkable tubing is selected appropriately, the supply of heat is just enough to shrink it so the two shaft areas are pressed together in shrinkage of the heat shrink tubing and are welded together at the same time. (See Column 7 Lines 10-20)
- 17. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Samson *et al.* with the teachings of Pfenninger to provide a means of attaching the inflation component to the shaft by shrinking a thin wall of polymer around the two.

Art Unit: 3763

18. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson et al. ('978) in view of Adams et al. ('292).

- 19. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)
- 20. Samson et al. fails to disclose a sealing member that is a valve.
- 21. Adams *et al.* teaches a hemostatic valve at the proximal end of a inflation lumen. (See Column 5 Lines 25-30).
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Samson *et al.* with the teachings of Adams *et al.* to provide a sealing member that is a valve.
- 23. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson et al. ('978)

Application/Control Number: 10/791,008

Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

24. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)

- 25. Samson fails to specifically disclose an inflation lumen with a diameter of about 0.002 inches at the distal end of the sleeve and 0.004 inches at the proximal end of the sleeve.
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify as claimed as a mere design choice lacking any criticality of size as being merely preferable for inflating the inflatable balloon depending on the ability of inflation fluid to transverse the lumen where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art.

Art Unit: 3763

27. Claims 22-23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samson *et al.* ('978) in view of Eidenschink ('515).

- 28. Samson *et al.* discloses a catheter comprising an elongated shaft (232), a working lumen (not referenced), an inflatable balloon (312), and an external inflation component (302). (See Figure 1-7) The inflation component comprises a reinforced braided polymer tube wherein the braid is composed of nitinol. The inflation component is a sleeve that is disposed around the elongate shaft. (See Column 10 Line 2) The inflatable balloon comprises silicone. (See Column 14 Lines 30-35) The elongated shaft comprises an internal braid of metal coils. (See Figure 3)
- 29. Samson *et al.* fails to disclose a sleeve comprising a tapered distal end and a working lumen that tapers towards the distal end.
- 30. Eidenschink teaches a balloon catheter that comprises a sleeve distal end that is tapered and a distal lumen end that is tapered. (See Figuer 3)
- 31. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Samson *et al.* with the teachings of Eidenschink to provide a balloon catheter with a tapered distal end.

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. U.S. Patent 6,960,186 to Fukaya *et al.*
- b. U.S. Patent 6,905,477 to McDonnell et al.
- 33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am 4 pm.
- 34. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 35. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul B Smith

Examiner Art Unit 3763

PBS

July 6, 2006

cline SHE

AX 3763